

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

T.X

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/254,288	04/02/1999	WOLFGANG TESCHNER	040433/0177	6758		
26633	7590 03/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EHRMAN WHITE & MC DE ISLAND AVE, NW	MARX, IRENE				
	TON, DC 20036-3001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1651			
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HL									
<u>,                                     </u>		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/254,288		TESCHNER ET A	L.				
Office	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Irene Marx		1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received l	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply y is specified above, the maximum statutory period w in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutory will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be time  minimum of thirty (30) days  pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to  ton to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1) Responsi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2005</u> .								
, <del></del>	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
•	<del></del>								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Clai	ims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	above claim(s) is/are pending above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed.  14-18, 21-31 and 33-42 is/are rejected is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consi ted.	deration.						
Application Papers	s								
		≥r							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
	ces Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
· — ·	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/254,288

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 1/11/05 is acknowledged. Claims 14-18, 21-31 and 33-42 are being considered on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14-18, 21-31 and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Insertion of the limitation "no more than 2.6 micromoles citrate per gram of protein" does not have support in the as-filed specification. The insertion of this limitation is a new concept because it neither has literal support in the as-filed specification by way of generic disclosure, nor are there specific examples of the newly limited genus which would show possession of the concept of attaining "no more than 2.6 micromoles citrate per gram of protein" with any process other than diafiltration as shown in Tables 1b, 2, and 3 as "non-precipitating conditions". In addition, it is unclear that a zero concentration is ever achieved. The only one exemplified process having "non-precipitating conditions" is diafiltration wherein this concentration of citrate is achieved using a mono or di-carboxylate or mono or di-carboxylic acid. This is not sufficient support for the new genus of replacing citrate under non-precipitating conditions by processes such as ultrafiltration or any chromatographic process to obtain "no more than 2.6 micromoles citrate per gram of protein" using a mono or di-carboxylate or mono or di-carboxylic acid. This is a matter of written description, not a question of what one of skill in the art would or would not have known. The material within the four corners of the as-filed specification must lead to the generic concept. If it does not, the material is new matter. Thus, the insertion of "no more than 2.6 micromoles citrate per gram of protein" in the present context is considered to be the insertion of new matter for the above reasons. Note also the additional limitation regarding the concentration of "undesired metals" of claims 33-38.

Application/Control Number: 09/254,288

Art Unit: 1651

Please see *Gentry Gallery v. Berkline* 45 U.S.P.Q.2d 1498 for a discussion related to broadening the claimed invention without support in the as-filed specification. Please see *PurduePharma v. Faulding* 56 U.S.P.Q.2d 1481 for a discussion related to a failure to describe a claimed generic concept in the narrative portion of the specification, but rather basing support on limitations in examples.

The rejection of claims 31, 33-34 and 41-42 under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 is withdrawn in view of the Teschner declaration wherein applicant presents data to demonstrate that diafiltration alone is not sufficient to obtain a composition as now claimed.

The rejection of claims 14-17, 20-23, 26, 28, 31, and 33-37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and arguments regarding the purifity of albumin in the solution treated with caprylate.

The art rejections will be reinstated as appropriate upon removal of the new matter introduced by the instant amendment.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/254,288

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gree Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651